Dahli Cosmological Model

Chabane Dahli
Independent Researcher, Algeria
Email: dahlichabane70@gmail.com

February 8, 2026

Abstract

We propose that the apparent "empty" intergalactic voids (P_2) are not a smooth Einsteinian spacetime with a quantum-field vacuum, but a granular lattice of microscopic white holes (MWs) – quanta of the pure cosmic vacuum – each formed by the superposition of three mass-branes and stabilized by three contracted temporal strings. Within galaxies (P_1), standard GR + QFT + the Standard Model remain valid and fully successful; the "failures" of ΛCDM arise when those theories are forced to describe the P_2 domain. This structural separation naturally explains the absence of particle dark matter and predicts two observable consequences: (1) a small, distance-dependent extra attenuation of TeV gamma-rays from distant blazars/GRBs, and (2) a sub-percent modification of the Casimir force at 100 nm–1 micrometer. Both effects provide laboratory and astrophysical tests of the granular vacuum hypothesis.

Keywords: Granular vacuum; Microscopic white holes; String photons; Dark energy; Dark matter; Casimir effect; Intergalactic voids; Domain structure of spacetime; String-membrane cosmology

1 Conceptual Framework (Desert-Mountains Analogy)

Our structural cosmology proposes three distinct domains:

1.1 Dry Land = Einstein Spacetime (Pattern P_1)

Inside galaxies, standard General Relativity + Quantum Field Theory + Standard Model work perfectly. This is the "smooth land" where all conventional physics lives.

1.2 Mountains = Composite Strings Supported by Contracted Membranes (Dark Matter, Pattern P_3)

At galaxy edges and halos, three contracted spatial membranes combine into a closed membrane (3 to 1 rule), whose pressure mimics dark matter. The "mountains" are these massive membrane-string complexes.

1.3 Desert = Intergalactic vacuum (Pattern P_2)

The apparent "empty" regions between galaxies are not truly empty. They are:

- **Granular**: made of microscopic white holes (MWs) = *quanta* of the cosmic vacuum, each a **stacked mass membrane** (three mass-branes in superposition).
- Each MW is **stabilized by three contracted energy strings** (temporal strings). These three strings are the structural origin of what appears as **dark energy tension**.

Thus, the intergalactic vacuum is a **granular network**:

> "Desert" = lattice of microscopic white holes (stacked mass membranes) each supported by 3 contracted temporal strings.

This leads directly to observable consequences because photons and fields do not cross a smooth continuum; they cross a **discrete string–membrane lattice**.

2 Domain Structure: Where Your Model Applies

- **Inside galaxies (P_1):**
  - 4D Lorentzian manifold $(M, g_{\mu\nu})$.
  - Quantum fields, Hilbert space, entanglement, Standard Model particles.
  - GR + QFT are valid and accurate.
- **Between galaxies (P_2 – temporal cosmic realm):**
  - No QFT vacuum, no virtual particles, no Hilbert space.
  - Fundamental entity: closed temporal string formed by ternary composition of 3 contracted temporal strings.
  - Dark energy = *tension* of this closed temporal string, not vacuum energy.
  - Photons here are **string photons**: classical waves of temporal tension, not quantum field excitations.
- **Galaxy edges/halos (P_3 – spatial cosmic realm):**
  - No dark matter particles; fundamental entity is a closed spatial membrane (3 contracted membranes $\to$ 1).
  - Membrane pressure reproduces flat rotation curves and lensing without particles.

Your granular vacuum picture is therefore a P_2 statement: the "desert" between galaxies is a lattice of MWs + contracted strings, not a smooth QFT vacuum.

3 Granular Vacuum Hypothesis

3.1 Microscopic White Holes as Vacuum Quanta

- Each **microscopic white hole** (MW):
  - Is a *quantum of the cosmic vacuum* (a "grain" of the desert).
  - Ontologically = **3 mass membranes in superposition** (stacked branes).
  - Represents a *local patch of pure vacuum structure* (no dark energy inside in the ideal limit).

3.2 Stabilization by three contracted strings

- Each MW is not free; to be stable it must be held by **3 contracted temporal strings** (3→1 rule in the temporal sector).
- These 3 strings encode **cosmic time tension**. Their effective large-scale tension T_{eff} yields the observed dark-energy density $\rho_\Lambda \sim T_{\text{eff}}/R_H$.
- This makes the vacuum **granular + tense**: granules (MWs) + a web of contracted strings.

3.3 Effective Structure Seen by Radiation

For any wave crossing P_2 (e.g. a high-energy photon):

- It does **not** propagate in a smooth metric + QFT background.
- It propagates as a **string excitation** on the temporal string network, passing through, and interacting with, the MW granules.

This motivates two main experimental tests:

1. High-energy γ-ray propagation through the granular vacuum.
2. Casimir force modification due to vacuum granularity on sub-μm scales.

4 Test 1 – High-Energy Gamma Rays as String Photons

4.1 Standard ΛCDM Expectation

In ΛCDM + QFT:

- High-energy γ-rays from blazars or GRBs propagate through a smooth FLRW spacetime with a QFT vacuum.
- Main attenuation mechanism at $E \gtrsim$ TeV:

$$\gamma + \gamma_{\text{CMB/EBL}} \to e^+ + e^-$$

- Pair production on the CMB and extragalactic background light (EBL) gives an **optical depth** $\tau_{\rm pair}(E, z)$.
- Predicted observed flux:

$$F_{\Lambda\rm CDM}(E, z) = F_{\rm em}(E)\frac{e^{-\tau_{\rm pair}(E,z)}}{4\pi D_L^2(z)}.$$

4.2 Your Model: String Photons in a Granular Vacuum

In your P_2 domain:

- When a photon exits a galaxy, it transitions:
  - P_1: QFT photon, quantum state $|\psi\rangle$.
  - P_2: **string photon** = geometric wave on the temporal string; no Hilbert space, no entanglement.
- Intergalactic voids contain:
  - A dense lattice of MWs (white-hole granules).
  - A network of 3 contracted temporal strings stabilizing each MW.

A γ-ray passing through this lattice experiences:

- Standard pair-production attenuation $\tau_{\rm pair}(E, z)$.
- **Additional "string-tension damping":** energy loss/amplitude damping due to interaction with the temporal string network and the granular MW distribution.

You can model the extra attenuation by a factor:

$$\Gamma(D, E, \gamma^N) = \exp\big[-\gamma^N f(D, E)\big],$$

where:

- D = propagation distance (e.g. comoving or luminosity distance).
- E = photon energy.
- $\gamma^N \ll 1$ encodes cumulative tension damping over N structural steps from Planck to Hubble scale.
- $f(D, E)$ grows with distance (more MWs crossed) and may have weak energy dependence.

Thus the **observed flux** becomes:

$$F_{\rm obs}(E, z) = F_{\rm em}(E)\frac{e^{-\tau_{\rm pair}(E,z)}}{4\pi D_L^2(z)}\Gamma(D_L(E, z), E, \gamma^N).$$

4.3 Concrete Observational Strategy

1. Select sources:
   - Blazars / AGNs with good redshifts over a range $\$0.1 \lesssim z \lesssim 2$.
   - Prefer sources with well-constrained intrinsic spectra (multiwavelength modelling).
2. Collect γ-ray spectra:
   - Use Fermi-LAT for GeV–sub-TeV, where pair-production is modest.
   - Use HESS/VERITAS/MAGIC/CTA for TeV–10s of TeV, where attenuation is strong.
3. Fit standard model first:
   - Assume intrinsic spectral shape (e.g. power law with cutoff) and apply only $\tau_{\rm pair}(E, z)$ from ΛCDM.
   - Derive residuals:
   $$R(E, z) \equiv \frac{F_{\rm obs}(E, z)}{F_{\Lambda\rm CDM}(E, z)}.$$
4. Search for systematic excess attenuation:
   - If vacuum is smooth: $R(E, z) \approx 1$ after including all astrophysical corrections.
   - In your model: expect a small, roughly distance-dependent suppression:
   $$\ln R(E, z) \approx -\gamma^N f(D_L(E, z)).$$
   - Check whether $R(E, z)$ deviates from 1 in a way correlated with distance, not just energy.
5. Interpretation:
   - A redshift-dependent extra suppression, stable across many sources and robust against source modelling, supports the idea of string-photon damping in a granular vacuum.
   - If no such suppression is seen within experimental precision, this constrains or rules out your specific values of γ^N and the strength of granularity.

5 Test 2 – Casimir Effect with a Granular Vacuum

5.1 Standard QED Casimir Effect

In QED with a continuous vacuum:

- Two parallel, perfectly conducting plates of area A, separated by distance d, experience an attractive Casimir force:
  $$F_{\rm QED}(d) = -\frac{\pi^2 \hbar c}{240\, d^4} A$$
  (zero temperature, ideal conductors).
- This arises from the change in allowed EM modes between the plates vs free space.

5.2 Your Granular Vacuum Correction

In your picture:

- The vacuum region between plates is not a smooth EM vacuum; it is a distribution of MW granules plus their 3 stabilizing strings.
- Placing two plates creates a confined region where:
  - Some MW configurations are disallowed or suppressed.
  - The distribution of granules and local string tension inside the cavity is slightly different from outside.

Qualitative consequence: "The presence of plates modifies the *granular structure* (number and arrangement of MWs and strings) between them, leading to an additional small contribution to the Casimir force on top of the QED result."

You can parametrize the total force as:

$$F_{\text{total}}(d) = F_{\text{QED}}(d)\big[1 + \delta_{\text{gran}}(d)\big],$$

where $\delta_{\text{gran}}(d)$ is a tiny, distance-dependent correction encoding:

- The granule scale (typical MW spacing) ℓ_g.
- The effective response of MW + strings to confinement (how many "vacuum quanta" are excluded between the plates).

For separations in the range $d \sim 100\text{ nm} - 1\,\mu\text{m}$, the relevant dimensionless ratio is d/ℓ_g. A simple phenomenological ansatz is:

$$\delta_{\text{gran}}(d) \sim \kappa \left(\frac{d}{\ell_g}\right)^n,$$

with $n > 0$ and $\kappa \ll 1$. The exact exponent depends on how MW occupancy scales with volume; your model can choose $n \approx 3$ for a volumetric effect (number of granules scaling with volume), but the key point is:

- If vacuum is granular, $\delta_{\text{gran}}(d) \neq 0$.
- If vacuum is purely QED-continuous, $\delta_{\text{gran}}(d) = 0$.

5.3 Experimental Strategy

1. Use ultra-precise Casimir setups:
   - Plate–plate or sphere–plate geometries.
   - Distance control in the range 100 nm – 1 m.
   - Force sensitivity at or below 10^{-4} – 10^{-5} relative to F_{QED}.
2. Procedure:
   (a) Measure the force $F_{\text{meas}}(d)$ as a function of d.

(b) Correct for:
- Finite conductivity,
- Surface roughness,
- Thermal effects,
- Patch potentials, etc.

(c) Compare the **residual** to the pure QED prediction:

$$\Delta F(d) = F_{\text{meas}}(d) - F_{\text{QED}}^{(\text{corrected})}(d).$$

3. **Interpretation within your model:**
   - A **systematic residual** with a clean, reproducible d-dependence at the 10^{-4}–10^{-5} level could indicate a granular vacuum structure.
   - From the shape and magnitude of $\Delta F(d)$, you can infer constraints (or best-fit values) for:
     - The granule scale ℓ_g (spacing of MWs).
     - The effective coupling between plates and MW + string lattice.
     - The same damping parameter family γ that appears in the γ-ray sector (if you try to tie them together via the organizational mathematics).
   - If no deviation is found within experimental uncertainties:
     - This sets **upper bounds** on $\delta_{\text{gran}}(d)$, and thus **lower bounds** on ℓ_g or on the weakness of the MW/plate interaction.
     - In the extreme, it could argue against any vacuum granularity at scales $\gtrsim 100$ nm.

6 Local Validity of Standard Physics

- Within **Einstein spacetime (P_1)** – i.e., inside galaxies – the standard field-theory picture holds with remarkable precision.
- **Fields, quantum states, entanglement, and the entire machinery of QFT and GR** are valid, well-tested, and fully consistent with observations.
- When these tools are applied to **the intergalactic vacuum (P_2)**, the framework breaks down:
  - No quantum vacuum state exists there.
  - No Hilbert space extends across the cosmos.
  - Entanglement and quantum coherence cannot be defined beyond the P_1 domain.
  - "Cosmological wave-functions" or "global quantum states" are therefore unfounded.
- The "cosmic-scale" failures of ΛCDM (dark matter, dark energy, the cosmological constant problem, missing satellites, etc.) arise precisely because we have **overextended a local description (P_1)** into a regime where it has no ontological validity.

- In P_2, the fundamental entities are **closed temporal strings** and **stacked spatial membranes**; the only permissible statements are about their structural relationships, not about quantum amplitudes or field fluctuations.
- Thus, all apparent contradictions – the lack of particle dark matter, the unnaturalness of Λ, the absence of quantum coherence between distant galaxies – dissolve once the **local-global distinction** between P_1 and P_2 is respected.

7 Putting It Together: From Philosophical Structure to Physical Tests

Your structural picture:

- **Desert (P_2)** = granular intergalactic vacuum:
  - Microscopic white holes (stacked mass membranes) as vacuum quanta.
  - Each stabilized by 3 contracted temporal strings (dark-energy tension).

  Leads to **two complementary experimental handles**:

1. **Cosmological scale – high-energy γ-rays:**
   - Prediction: **extra, organized attenuation** of TeV γ-rays from distant blazars/GRBs, beyond ΛCDM pair-production.
   - Test: precision spectral fits with Fermi-LAT + HESS/CTA; look for a redshift-correlated attenuation term interpreted as string-photon damping (controlled by γ, γ^N).
2. **Laboratory scale – Casimir effect:**
   - Prediction: **tiny systematic correction** to the Casimir force at 100 nm–1 μm due to modified MW + string distribution between plates.
   - Test: ultra-precise, sub-percent Casimir measurements; interpret any stable residual vs distance as constraints on vacuum granularity scale ℓ_g and on your string–membrane configuration.

In this way, your model stops being purely philosophical. It becomes a **testable physical proposal**: the granular nature of the intergalactic vacuum (desert) must leave **measurable fingerprints** in:

- The spectra of γ-rays from cosmic sources, and
- The tiny forces measured between plates in terrestrial laboratories.

Both domains constrain the same underlying idea: that what we call "vacuum" between galaxies is not a smooth Einsteinian spacetime with a QFT vacuum, but a **structured lattice of microscopic white holes plus contracted strings.**

References

1. Polchinski, J. *String Theory.* Vols. 1 & 2. Cambridge University Press, 1998.

2. Peebles, P. J. E., & Ratra, B. The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 75, 559–606 (2003).

3. Milgrom, M. A Modification of the Newtonian Dynamics as a Possible Alternative to the Hidden Mass Hypothesis. *Astrophys. J.* 270, 365–370 (1983).

4. Aharonian, F. *et al.* Very High Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 66, 1–59 (2003).

5. Mohideen, U., & Roy, A. Precision Measurement of the Casimir Force via a Micromechanical Oscillator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 4549–4552 (1998).

6. Weinberg, S. The Cosmological Constant Problem. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 61, 1–23 (1989).

7. Ackermann, M. *et al.* The Fermi LAT Measurement of the Extragalactic Background Light. *Science* 325, 840–843 (2009).

8. Bordag, M., Klimchitskaya, G. L., Mostepanenko, V. M., & Mohideen, U. *Advances in the Casimir Effect.* Oxford University Press, 2009.